

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,498	02/26/2004	David Mui	8336/ETCH/SILICON	7439
55649 7	590 06/01/2006		EXAM	INER
	AW GROUP / APPL	PUNNOOSE, ROY M		
1040 BROAD 2ND FLOOR	STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		2877	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,498	MUI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAN g date of this communication, even if time	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commu DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or example.	wn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	, Pr.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing (s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 🗖	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/788,498

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13 and 18-19 are drawn to a method for controlling calibration timing for a metrology tool for measuring thickness of a substrate, classified in class 356, subclass 630.
 - II. Claims 14-17 are drawn to an apparatus for processing semiconductor substrates in which thickness measurements are made, classified in class 356, subclass 630.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Group I, claims 1-13 and 18-19) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Group II, claims 14-17) as claimed because the invention of Group I does not require a process chamber as claimed in the invention of Group II. The subcombination has separate utility such as detecting surface or subsurface defects of a semiconductor substrate.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,498 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact/Status Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Roy M. Punnoose** whose telephone number is **571-272-2427**. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,498

Art Unit: 2877

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext.77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 23, 2006

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877